

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/619,364	07/19/2000	Nathaniel Heintz	600-1-192N2	2192
7	7590 11/06/2002			
David A Jackson			EXAMINER	
Klauber & Jackson Continental Plaza			LEFFERS JR, GERALD G	
411 Hackensack Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
mackensack, in	Hackensack, NJ 07601		1636	
		DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002 16		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
₩.	09/619,364	HEINTZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gerald G Leffers Jr.	1636				
	The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	uaust 2002 .					
· <del>-</del>	s action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-14 and 33-47</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/619,364

Art Unit: 1636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II (claims 15-32)in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that 1) the groups as designated by the examiner are not so distinct as to warrant separate Examination and search, 2) a search of one group would overlap a search of the other groups, 3) searching the groups together would not place an undue burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because all that need be shown to demonstrate a burdensome search requirement for the examiner is that the groups have a different classification, which was shown in the restriction requirement (Paper No. 4, 9/17/02). The argument that the inventions are not so distinct as to warrant a separate examination and search ignores the fact of the different classification of the groups and the rational provided by the examiner in Paper No. 4 as to why the groups are distinct.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 15 and 16 are vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of the term "independent origin based cloning vector" or "IOBCV" are unclear. The term does not appear to

Application/Control Number: 09/619,364

Art Unit: 1636

be explicitly defined in the specification with regard to the minimal elements required in order to possess such a vector. It would be remedial to amend the claim language to clearly indicate what are the minimal structural/functional characteristics for an "IOBCV" vector.

Claims 15 and 16 are vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of the phrase "... wherein neither the IOBCV alone, nor the IOBCV in combination with the host cell can independently support homologous recombination..." are unclear. It is unclear the particular conditions under which the vector alone or the vector + host cell cannot support homologous recombination. For example, does the limitation mean that the vector cannot undergo homologous recombination under any conditions (e.g. in vitro, in a different host cell, etc.). Also, it is unclear the participants for recombination that are prohibited. Does the limitation only involve recombination with the IOBCV vector or does it apply to any recombination done in the host cell? It would be remedial to explicitly set out the conditions and substrates for which limitation is applicable.

#### Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald G Leffers Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-6232. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the



Art Unit: 1636

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7939 for regular communications and (703) 305-7939 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Gerald G Leffers Jr.

Examiner

Art Unit 1636

ggl

November 4, 2002